

8135. ccc

A
R E V I E W,
&c. &c.

PRICE ONE SHILLING.



A
R E V I E W
WITH *N*
SUITABLE REMARKS and REFLECTIONS,
OF THE
Astonishing Misrepresentations and Gross Contradictions which have been
Circulated in all the DAILY PAPERS relative to a
LATE DOMESTIC FRACAS,
IN A
FAMILY OF THE FIRST RANK ;
And which has been fortunately succeeded by a
PERFECT RECONCILIATION :
TOGETHER WITH
MOST CURIOUS PARTICULARS,
WHICH HAVE BEEN INSERTED IN VARIOUS PAPERS
SINCE THE
RECONCILIATION.

LONDON :

PRINTED FOR THE AUTHOR, AND SOLD BY THE PRINCIPAL
BOOKSELLERS IN TOWN AND COUNTRY.



A

R E V I E W,
&c. &c.

TH E Liberty of the Press is of the very first importance to a free nation, but, whenever it is permitted to degenerate into licentiousness, who can count the mischiefs of which it is likely to be productive? The administering of poison for the destruction of the body would, on substantial and positive proof, be attended with merited punishment; what, then, shall those deserve who poison the mind? The fool who scatters about firebrands and says that he is in sport, is entirely innocent, if compared to those who industriously sow the seeds of dissention, with a view to disturb the public peace, by destroying the domestic happiness of a Family, in whose harmony the whole nation is deeply interested.

These remarks are not aimed at any individual. *Qui caput, ille facit*. They are made, in the perhaps fruitless hopes

hopes of checking the malice of diurnal Publications, too commonly abounding with elaborated venom, especially on those occasions when silence would be honorable, and delicacy is particularly requisite. The publication even of facts is not always warrantable. It is often better to smother many reports than to spread and divulge them. Truth ought not to be spoken, or propagated at all times. Unfortunately, these maxims are thought ridiculously unfashionable; and those who deal in, and live by, scandal, even *Scandalum Magnatum*, meet, instead of reprobation, too often the greatest encouragement.—May the liberty of the press be ever preserved inviolate,—and may its licentiousness be punished with merited severity!

The publication of the following reports, assertions, and gross contradictions must not be attributed to a desire of propagating scandal; but, on the contrary, to a laudable wish of sickening, even to extreme disgust, the thoughtless individuals, who, for amusement, sport with the refined feelings of Personages of the first rank, and who involve, in an indiscriminate censure, the innocent and the guilty, before the truth of the case has been made to appear.

FROM THE SUN OF MAY 27th, 1796.

“ To those whom it may concern.—There are few crimes, which in the eye
 “ of morality, are more atrocious; few, which, in the eye of the law, are
 “ more seriously deserving of punishment, than THE CONCEALMENT
 “ AND OPENING OF PRIVATE LETTERS. If by chance, any letter so
 opened,

" opened should contain a single note, even for £5. the punishment annexed by law to the commission of such a crime, is nothing less than
 " DEATH. In the eye of morality, the nature of its contents can neither
 " aggravate nor diminish the crime, which is the violation of virtue, of
 " decency, and of honour, so gross, so scandalous, so offensive, as to excite
 " the most marked and general indignation, and to effect the exclusion of
 " the culprit from all societies in which vice is detested and virtue cherished.
 " If this hint be not taken, we shall discharge our duty ; and speak
 " more plainly."

The truth of the above remarks cannot be controverted ;
 but the precipitate and rash conclusion, on the conduct of
 an illustrious personage, cannot be too severely condemned.

FROM THE TRUE BRITON, FRIDAY, 27th of MAY 1796.

" THE interception of SEVERAL letters, destined for a foreign Court,
 " is likely, we hear, to occasion a good deal of conversation ; and we doubt
 " not, an act of such dishonour and immorality will meet with the general
 " reprobation which it merits.

" We hear so much of Lady Jersey, that we hardly know, &c. &c. &c.

" We witnessed such a scene at the Opera, on Saturday, as we trust will
 " never again be exhibited in public or private. When the most polished
 " gentleman of the age so far forgets himself as to show disrespect to one
 " whom duty compels him to honour, the degradation must be felt by
 " all, &c. &c."

What

What daring effrontery was necessary to print, the very next day *the four following words*,—and the rest of the following paragraph, in the same paper ?

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, MAY the 28th.

“ WE HAVE BEEN SILENT upon the subject of misunderstanding in High Life, till duty demands it of us to speak out. We have been led on with the hope that a returning sense of honour and propriety might have PREVENTED in time the PUBLICATION of a CATASTROPHE, which, while it shocks, must excite the indignation of every honest heart.

“ From the abandoned woman, who, &c. we have nothing to expect. We did hope something from the returning reason of one who ought seriously to reason upon consequences ; but *that hope is almost gone.*”

READ and STARE !

FROM THE SAME, Monday, May the 30th.

“ THE reception which the amiable Princess of Wales experienced at the Opera on Saturday, while it was nobly expressive of the genuine good sense of the virtuous and dignified feelings of Britons, must, we conceive, operate as a salutary admonition to *those* whose conscience must tell *them* that *they* merit a very different reception from an English audience.”

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, May 31st.

“ TOWNSEND said behind the scenes on Saturday night, that he would not permit the Prince to enter the Opera that night.”

FROM

FROM THE MORNING POST, Monday, May the 30th.

" AN elderly Lady is said to have broken open a letter, written by the
 " young bride to her father, &c. &c. A knowledge of its contents, it
 " seems, occasioned an aggravated degree of ill-usage towards the bride, &c.
 " &c. The reports are in such general circulation, and so little questioned,
 " that we fear they cannot be contradicted, &c. One of these stories is,
 " that, assisted by the elderly Lady, he forced brandy down his wife's throat,
 " till she was intoxicated, and then he sent for his parents, and convinced
 " them of her bad conduct.

" ———Not a being in the Opera that did not seem sensible of her
 " wrongs. Her Royal Highness was out yesterday in her carriage and was
 " saluted by the people in the streets with equal marks of affection and
 " condolence."

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday May the 31st.

" NO sooner was this paper published yesterday, than our office was
 " inundated with *scurrilous* paragraphs against the *objects* of PUBLIC RE-
 " SENTMENT. But this paper shall not be made the vehicle of attacks
 " which may be unjust ; nor shall it inflame disputes already too warm.
 " With regard to the story about opening a letter we are NOT AT ALL
 " convinced of its truth."

If Brandy be not inflammable, what is ? Could any man expect the two last paragraphs, in the same paper, on two successive days ?

Read the two following paragraphs extracted out of the same paper, and the malignancy of the second, cannot pass unnoticed.

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 1st.

" We have reason to believe, that *all* the stories about the letter, &c.
 " are mere fabrications ; but the following fact we can vouch for :—One
 " day, when the young couple, the noblewoman, &c. were at dinner, the
 " bride, taking offence at some part of the conduct of other persons at the
 " table, rose and quitted the room, and was followed by another lady at
 " table who held an official situation. The noblewoman took offence at
 " this, insisting that the only way of curing her of her ill-temper, was never
 " to take notice of her when she was in her *fulks* ; and she dismissed the
 " lady from the house for having followed the mistress out of the room.
 " ———The young bride seems determined to appeal to the PEOPLE."

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 2nd.

" REPORT says, that a certain parent will not see his Infant."

The next paragraph attempts to make us believe that
 innocence is prone to INFLAME !

FROM THE SAME, Friday June the 3rd.

" A VERY peremptory message has been sent to a certain Young
 " Lady respecting her seeming desire to INFLAME the minds of the
 " PEOPLE, on the subject of the existing disagreement. It is said she sits,
 " THE WHOLE DAY, weeping over her Infant, saying *it is her only comfort*.
 " But she must feel another comfort in the UNIVERSAL sympathy of the
 " PEOPLE."

Then follows a Story, explanatory of another, each de-
 serving equal credit.

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, June the 4th.

" WE are now enabled to explain the story of the opening of the letter.
" The tale told to the public is a misrepresentation. The fact is this ;"—
(Then follows a tale still more groundless, if possible,
than the first.)

The invidious reflections on the conduct of an amiable Personage, contained in the four following Paragraphs, will Shock every mind possessed of sensibility.

FROM THE MORNING POST: Monday, June 6.

" THE people have been much misinformed respecting the influence of
" a certain noblewoman over her husband.—At this moment she has no
" power, nor is she *in any degree* an obstacle to a reconciliation:

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 7th.

" THERE is no truth in the report of the Earl and Countess of Jersey
" having resigned their situation at Carlton-House.

" There was not at Court, on Saturday last, a lady more cheerful, or apparently more happy, than the Princess of Wales. She danced at the ball
" as if it had been her wedding-day.

" It is said the Queen's carriage was pelted by the mob on Saturday.

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 8th.

" THE Gentleman is at present obstinate. He remains in the country.—
" The Lady is in town in high spirits, and anxious to mix in every scene of
" gaiety".

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 9th.

"THE Princess of Wales, who is extremely fond of her Infant is called
"at Carlton-house, in allusion to her sorrows, the *wet nurse*".

How different is the complexion of the next paragraph !

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 14th.

"THE Princess of Wales commenced her usual ride just as Mr. Fox
"passed the palace of St. James's, and avoided the crowd by passing through
"St. James's-Square ; but on her entrance into St. James's-street, she was
"recognized, and the applause of the multitude accompanied her into
"Hyde-Park."

How awkwardly malicious is what follows !

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday June, the 15th.

"The Princess of Wales CONSTANTLY amuses herself in the nursery
"with the Infant Princess. She must love it to DISTRACTION, it bears
"the strongest likeness to the Heir Apparent."

The writer knew that the next lines contained a falsehood of his own invention.

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 16th.

"In a Copy of Verses written as from the Princess to the Prince :

"I to a generous PUBLIC leave my cause,

"Be mine the task to *suffer* and to DIE."

"The toast in ALL companies is,—The King, the Princess of Wales,
"the Queen and all the Royal Family.

The

The following is despicable flattery.

FROM THE TRUE BRITON, Wednesday, June the 1st.

"THE house was crowded in all parts, no doubt from a hope of witnessing the triumph of British sensibility.—The Princess of Wales has soothing consolation, in the midst of her afflictions, that—Englishmen will interest themselves, and nobly avenge her wrongs."

The next paragraph alludes to a report which had no foundation.

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 2nd.

"New way to recover public favour. Don't suffer the voice of truth to reach your wife; turn her nursery-windows from the street; and prohibit her excursions to the Opera—Fie on't—oh fie!

"The Royal Nursery, we hear, is removed by special order from the front to the garden-side of Carlton-house.

"It was LUCKY that the Princess of Wales went unaccompanied to the Opera on Saturday.

The two first parts of the next paragraph are justly conceived. The third and last were known to the writer to be the work of his own depraved imagination.

FROM THE SAME, Friday, June the 3rd.

"THE present Opera-season has been the most successful ever known. Indeed the Opera never furnished a more charming entertainment than it has lately done; and was never consequently more deserving of the patronage of the public.

B

"As

"As virtue is sure to meet with PUBLIC applause, so is VICE certain
 "to meet with PUBLIC censure. Those, therefore, who are sensible that
 "their conduct is such as to meet the MOST MARKED disapprobation,
 "should expect to receive it.

"The Comte d'Artois is said to have written a very serious letter to the
 "Prince of Wales, beginning thus :—*Experto crede Roberto.*"

The writer of the first part of the following paragraph
 should not have alluded to a report which he ought to
 have known to be false. The second part is not amiss.
 The third by a falshood contradicts another falshood.

FROM THE SAME, Saturday. June the 4th.

"THAT the first female personage in the kingdom should sanction her
 "son's IMPROPER TREATMENT of his amiable consort, is what we can-
 "not believe without that kind of demonstration which would render scap-
 "tivism madness.

"The applause invariably bestowed on their Majesties and the PRIN-
 "CESS of Wales, whenever *they* appear in public, affords a complete
 "proof that the Nation knows how to appreciate virtue. It is to
 "be HOPED that no occasion will be afforded them to show what a recep-
 "tion they would give to VICE.

"The nursery at Calton-house has, we are happy to find, resumed its
 "PROPER station."

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 7th

"ON Saturday, when a Great Personage came to dress herself for the
 "Birth-day

"Birth-day Court at St. James's, it was found that the jewel-chest was
 "locked, and the key not to be found. Any comment on the *manliness* of
 "this transaction we forbear to make.

"It is YET in the power of a certain personage to redeem what he may
 "have lost in the public estimation."

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 8th.

"It is as much our pride to correct *mistakes*, as it is our *duty* to RELATE
 "FACTS; and we are happy to find, AS WE ARE CERTAIN OF THE
 "TRUTH, that it was accident only which prevented the Princess of Wales
 "from being furnished with her jewels at the Birth-day Court.—The inten-
 "tion was not as we suspected. We sincerely rejoice that the EMBERS of
 "that gentlemanly character, for which his Royal Highness has been always
 "so much admired, are still burning in his breast

"The Princess of Wales was not at the Opera last night, as it was thought
 "HIGHLY INDECOROUS to have the appearance of TAKING PART
 "in the public voice against her husband."

The arrow aimed at a personage of high rank, in the
 above lines, leaves him entirely unhurt.—The fabricator
 confesses his sins immediately, but without blushing; and
 calls his voluntary aspersions a *mistake*! He then awk-
 wardly flatters an illustrious Personage, and obliquely
 sneers at his amiable Consort.

The concluding part of the following paragraph could
 not proceed from a pen, guided by delicacy; and it is fea-
 soned with insipid flattery.

"FROM

FROM THE SAME, *Thursday, June the 9th.*

"SATURDAY next, it is supposed, will be the greatest opera ever known in London, owing to *circumstances*, independent of the attraction of the performances.

"The letter written by an illustrious Female to a Great Personage, was shown by that Personage to the Gentleman most concerned in its contents. It is not true that it came to his hands by any *circutious* means.

"A print of a most diabolical nature has lately appeared, reflecting in a most infamous manner, on a certain Personage not more illustrious for her rank than exalted by her virtues."

Observe how these Journalists flap their own cheeks, which are never crimsoned with the glow of modesty.

FROM THE SAME, *Tuesday June the 14th.*

"Some wicked insinuations are made" (see the paragraph quoted from the True Briton of June 8, contradicting their own assertions) "respecting the non-appearance of certain Royal jewels on the birth-day. It is not true, as surmised, that they were sent to Jersey."

FROM THE SAME, *Wednesday, June the 15th.*

"EARL MOIRA is once more gone to the Grange. That his journey may not prove a vain errand, is what we rather hope than *expect*.

"A reconciliation in high life is, we are sorry to state, still at a considerable distance. The matter, we much fear, will become a parliamentary business."

Beneath notice—So is the next paragraph.

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 16th.

"LADY JERSEY, we can assure the Public, for their GREAT SATISFACTION, has not resigned, *nor does she mean so to do.*

"A certain old woman does not scruple to say, because the populace have not PULLED HER HOUSE ABOUT HER EARS, that she has the good opinion of the PEOPLE."

Another Journalist begins his inauspicious career by moralizing on slander; and, afraid lest he should be distanced by his competitors, instantly plunges into the muddy stream, in hopes that he may at least overtake them.

FROM THE MORNING CHRONICLE, Monday, May the 30th.

"OPERA. We do not think a newspaper is usefully occupied in catching at every tale of idle gossiping, through which by giving notoriety to reports that may be slanderous, it runs the hazard of *making* a breach, or of *widening* one which might otherwise be closed. But the present instance of matrimonial infidelity is advanced to a height that makes all delicacy on the subject unseasonable; and we owe it to morality—to public manners—to national gallantry towards a virtuous and amiable stranger, to take notice of the *general burst* of COMMISERATION, which took place at this Theatre, on Saturday night, in consequence of the indignities which they understood the amiable Princess to have suffered.

"We should suppose that the stories in circulation must be unfounded.

"Lady Jersey is honoured with the confidential patronage of the Queen."

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 1st.

"OPERA. Again the GENEROUS AFFECTIONS of the Theatre
"were displayed in favour of the Princess of Wales. *God save the King* was
"called for, and performed WITH ALL THE PIETY OF A RELIGIOUS
"ANTHEM. Yet it does not seem to be her wish to make any appeal to
"the tribunal of the public. The Opera is the *only* pleasure which she
"permits herself. It is a question whether this may not be denied her
"through the very kindness which is manifested by the public in her
"favour."

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 2nd.

"NEXT Saturday is expected to be the greatest Opera of the present
"season."

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, June the 4th.

"MR. BURKE, on the Queen of France, says, he thought *that ten*
"*thousand swords would have leaped from their scabbards to have avenged a*
"*look that threatened her with insult.* In the present STATE OF PEOPLE'S
"MINDS, and with the application that may be given to it, it certainly may
"appear INFLAMMATORY."

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 16th.

"A COUNCIL was held yesterday on the subject of the unhappy
"difference between the Prince and Princess of Wales.

"The Queen also had a conference on this important subject, at which
"Lady Jersey assisted."

The first of the above paragraphs concludes with a ma-
licious

licious insinuation against an illustrious Personage. The second is not worth notice. The third is indeed, what it was intended to be, highly INFLAMMATORY. The fourth might be partially true.

FROM the TIMES, Tuesday, May the 24th.

"A LETTER superscribed to BRUNSWICK has been sent by the way
"of JERSEY, where it lay concealed for some days, and was there opened
"by a person to whom it was not addressed.

"It is with great regret we allude to a circumstance many days since
"known to us, and which we fear is but too true—we mean the rumour of
"a SEPARATION in high life."

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday May the 25th.

"THERE cannot be a greater breach of faith and honour, than the
"opening of a letter designed for another person."

FROM THE SAME, Friday, May the 27th.

"THE dissensions in a certain quarter are unfortunately too notorious to
"the world to be concealed. In alluding to them as we have done, we have
"been rather prompted by, &c. &c. Every friend to our present happy
"constitution is too deeply interested in the conduct of those in superior situ-
"ations to seek to traduce or lessen them in the opinion of mankind.

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, May the 28th.

"IN respect to the private history of family disputes, we pass it over
"with

"with SILENT regret. But what will the world say of an intriguing woman in high life, who has been guilty of a treacherous breach of trust, in breaking the seal of a letter committed to her care? She has violated, &c. ! She has sown the seeds, &c. ! She has infringed the laws, &c. !—A British public will stand forth the champion of an injured Lady."

The writer of these paragraphs hints at a SEPARATION in high life, which had not then, nor has since had, any probability of taking place. He proceeds to guard others against traducing or lessening any one in the opinion of mankind,---and immediately goes on to transgress his own precepts !

FROM THE SAME, Monday, May 30.

"THE Princess took an airing before dinner yesterday. Her Highness was CONSTRAINED to let down the glasses of her carriage that the people might view her, who gave such tokens of respectful and affectionate attention as have been seldom equalled, and perhaps never excelled.

"*The Opera-House.* The gentlemen from the scenes pressed forward, endeavouring in every possible manner to convince her of their feelings, and seemed by their ENERGY to prove that Burke had been mistaken, for that *the age of chivalry is not passed, but that*" (See the quotation from the Morning Chronicle of June 4) *were it possible ANY ONE should wrong the royal stranger, ten thousand swords were ready to leap out of their scabbards in her defence.* Upon the whole, we never witnessed any public emotion with more pleasure—the British character BROKE OUT, &c. &c.

"God

"*God save the KING* was encored, and received with such peculiar marks of pleasure, as shewed that, in calling for it *this evening*, there was more reason for it than met the ear."

FROM THE SAME, *Wednesday, June 1.*

"THE OPERA. Yesterday evening, every eye was directed to the Princess's box, in the hope of beholding this amiable sufferer. The house seemed *electrified* by her presence. Every hand was lifted up to greet her. If the Princess will only afford the public a few more opportunities of testifying their respect for suffering virtue, we think it will soon, &c. &c."

This Enthusiasm, on such an important occasion, is not amiss, nor is the following quotation reprehensible.

FROM THE SAME, *Thursday, June 2.*

FROM the expostulation between Queen Catharine and her husband, in Act III. of Shakespeare's Henry VIII.

"I appeal,
"Before you all, unto each feeling heart!
"And bring my cause before both King and PEOPLE!"

FROM THE SAME, *Saturday June 4th.*

"WHILST the infamous Duke of Orleans was rioting in the lowest sensual pleasures, he was always observed to show the greatest respect for his truly respectable consort; as if he endeavoured by this external homage to indemnify her in some measure for the disgrace of being united with a MAN SO UNIVERSALLY DESPISED. Such an ascendant does virtue possess even over THE MOST DEPRAVED MINDS."

C

"It

"It is *hoped for* and EXPECTED, that, if an old grandmother is this evening at the Opera, every woman of virtue and decency will show their indignation; otherwise the rapture of sensibility at this Theatre will only prove to be the noisy effusions of shameless QUEANS."

How daringly depraved must that mind be which could fancy, or hint at, a resemblance between an execrable monster, justly put to death for his atrocious deeds, and a misguided, but, it is hoped, not irreclaimably obstinate Personage, who may yet prove, under the guidance of Providence, a blessing to this nation! How much more acceptable would a flattering likeness have been to the Eyes of a loyal and generous people! The two following paragraphs are not worth notice. The late *happy reconciliation* has proved the industrious falshood of the third.

FROM THE SAME, Monday, June 6th.

"THE Prince declined to be at the Birth-day, and had previously set out INCOG. for the Grange, where he now is. We have reason to think that his own servant did not know whither his Royal Highness was going."

"On the evening of the Prince's leaving Carlton-house for Hampshire, Lady Jersey left her house in Pall Mall, INCOG. She has retired, though NOT UNOBSERVED to the house of her daughter, Lady Ann Lambton, in Berkley-square."

FROM THE SAME, Thursday June the 9th.

"The True Briton has attacked him for acting the *unmanly* part of lock-

"ing

"ing up the Princess's jewels, that she might be deprived of them in going to Court; but it was the effect of accident only."

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 14th.

"WE are sorry to say there is LITTLE HOPE of a reconciliation between two persons of high rank."

Forth steps another champion, not with an intention to palliate errors, or to soothe irritation, but like his competitors, to apply his Caustics. He also hints at an *immediate separation*; had he made use of the word *reconciliation*, he would have been right. The insinuation that a *certain Letter* contained some comments on a *great Female Personage* was, like many other ideas, hatched in the brain of the writer. Of a similar Complexion is the assertion that the *Circe's* influence meets with a countenance in *another quarter*, which is altogether as surprizing as it is unnatural." These dashing Journalists bespatter all alike, without respect of Persons! The enthusiastic rant in favour of an illustrious and amiable Female may be excused.

THE MORNING HERALD, Tuesday, May the 24th.

"IT is reported, but we believe without any foundation, that a certain female foreigner of high rank has of late expressed a wish to return home."

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, May the 25th.

"WE are extremely sorry to announce, that the conduct of a certain personage

" personage towards his amiable spouse has of late been of such a nature
 " that a SEPARATION is expected to be the IMMEDIATE consequence.

FROM THE SAME, Thursday May the 26th.

" THE speed with which the Prince and Lady Jersey rode over the
 " course at Epsom, a few days ago, is spoken of with *astonishment* by the
 " beholders of the *fight*.

" A *packet*, containing *secret dispatches* for the Duke of Brunswick, on
 " the subject of a *recent alliance*, has lately been intercepted by a *Jersey*
 " *cruizer*; in consequence of which act of hostility, a *separate treaty* is
 " expected very shortly to take place between certain HIGH *contracting*
 " *parties*.—An extraordinary *embassy* on the occasion will, it is thought,
 " be dispatched to the Court of Brunswick in a few days."

" The Prince and Lady Jersey took an airing in their curricle on Tues-
 " day, and attracted *particular notice*."

" Lady ——— is said to be one of the most adroit hands in England at
 " opening a letter that is not directed to herself."

" The Princess of Wales has of late employed her attention in having
 " drawings made of her native bowers, the scenes of her habitual joy."

FROM THE SAME, Friday May the 27th.

" THE unpleasant occurrence, which has recently taken place in high
 " life, affords much uneasiness to a certain amiable couple, whose conduct
 " has ever been a pattern of domestic virtue and worth."

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, MAY the 28th.

" A SEPARATION is anxiously pressed for by an afflicted female
 " personage, and a safe passport to her own country; but this is thought
 " cannot be done without a parliamentary sanction."

" The

"The *Royal Letter* which was so shamefully opened, was as disgracefully put into the hands of a *great female personage*, and in which she is said to have found some comments on her own conduct, not of the most flattering nature !

"Mrs. P——is the fascinating object of the hour ; a *fair exotic*, artfully reared for *Royal dalliance* in the fruitful hot-beds so abundant in the *isle of Jersey*."

"The double of a certain amiable FEMALE of HIGH RANK has been appointed to the *singular* office of *Inspectress* General of all *suspected Let-ters* coming from a particular part of WALES."

FROM THE SAME, Monday, May the 31st.

"We are sorry to add, that the influence of the *Circe* is still encreasing, and that it meets with a countenance in *another quarter*, altogether as surprizing as it is unnatural. We rejoice, however, to find that a benevolent indignation is hourly rousing throughout the country in defence of an oppressed and inoffensive stranger !

"*The Princess of Wales*.—This amiable Princess honoured the Opera with her presence on Saturday evening. When she entered her box, she was received with the most generous and rapturous plaudits, and a scene at once extremely affecting and highly gratifying ensued. The whole house rose, and for full a quarter of an hour maintained a continual acclamation of '*Bravo !*' '*Welcome*' '*God bless the Princess !*' '*Long live the Princess !*' The front of the stage was crowded, and each person seemed emulous to be distinguished in expressing their respect and sympathy. Her Royal Highness was very much affected, and WENT. At each time that she rose during the evening, the whole house, with the most marked respect likewise left their seats ; and, on her departure, she again received the warmest attestations that the country knows her worth, participates in her affliction, and resents her wrongs."

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, May the 31st.

"NOTHING can be more honourable to the feelings of the English nation than its defence of a woman, who has thrown herself on its protection, and on reliance of its good faith, has left her own natural friends and family for a strange people and a strange land.—Considering her to be the injured party, it is not only consistent with the laws of gallantry, and the dues of hospitality, but is an honour to the feelings and candour of the country; nor will the generous spirit, thus unanimously excited in her favour be transferred to any other Personage concerned, however illustrious, unless a cause is shewn that may be fully satisfactory to the public mind; in which case only, those who have acted with so much liberal virtue on one side know how to conduct themselves on the other.

"The Princess of Wales, whenever she appears abroad, is received by the people with those marks of affection and condolence which bespeak the sympathy of a generous mind towards an amiable female and a neglected stranger.

"It affords us much pleasure to hear that the Duke and Duchess of York, feeling for the situation of the Princess of Wales, are unremitting in their attention to that amiable Lady.

"When allusions to certain differences are so frequently and artfully circulated, they betray designs beyond those of promoting a reconciliation, so much to be desired by every friend to the interests of this country."

Of the following paragraphs, the first is the work of a disordered imagination; the first part of the second is descriptive of a conduct perfectly natural to the Personages who are there mentioned. It is shameful to introduce a "*distinguished female*" and Lady H—as countenancing the

the "recent transactions at Carlton-House." The saying that they "consider the report as gross calumny against the character of Lady H——" will not wipe off the slanderous aspersions.

FROM THE MORNING HERALD Tuesday June the 1st.

From Verses respecting the Prince of Wales :

"Tho' nature form'd him liberal and just,

"Where blessings *should* await him, he'll be CURST."

"The Princess of Wales appeared yesterday at one of the front windows of Carlton-house, *with her infant in her arms*, accompanied by Prince William of Gloucester; which the populace perceiving, crowded the Street for a considerable time, repeatedly manifesting, by *rapturous* bursts of applause, their honest sympathy and LOYAL admiration of the neglected and amiable Princesses."

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 2nd.

"THE kind attention shewn to the Princess of Wales by the Duke and Dutchess of York, and the Duke of Gloucester and his family, is highly creditable to their feelings. The Marchioness of Strafford also enjoys a considerable portion of the confidence of her Royal Highness.

"Lady H—— is said to be the confidential friend of a *certain Countess*; and thus it is that the assertion of a HIGH FEMALE PERSONAGE having countenanced all recent transactions at Carlton-house is attempted to be justified, Lady H. being the particular favorite of that distinguished female. But this report we cannot help considering as a gross calumny against the character of her Ladyship.

"What a mockery of *family affection*, that the Birth and Wedding-days of the Princess of Wales should have been kept at *Windfor in high State*

GALA,

"GALA, while the unhappy object of all this courtly merriment was passing her solitary hours alone in Pall-Mall !

"Lady Clarendon's beautiful seat at the Grove, in Hertfordshire, has been very handsomely tendered to the Princess, as a summer retreat, should she prefer it on the score of variety to a marine residence at Brighton.

"Lady Jersey is down on a visit at Castle Howard."

The first part of the following paragraph is awkwardly intended to white-wash the high character, whom the writer had so often aspersed, throwing, incidentally, a handful of dirt at a competitor and dealer in slander. Then follows another ill-natured and unfounded insinuation, directed against a female of the most exalted rank, and after this,---the ridiculous and false story about the nursery,---and another false story respecting "*positive Commands*" which were never given.

FROM THE SAME, Friday, June the 3rd.

"NEXT to the seriousness of the conjugal charges against a certain Personage, we are most astonished at the serious manner in which they are urged against him by that print that is so notoriously the engine of the Treasury. The public are disposed to be satisfied with an emendation of that Personage's conduct; but there are those, it seems, whom nothing will content short of the deprivation of his hereditary birth-right."

"We are sorry to hear that the ill-treatment which the amiable Stranger experiences from *more than one* quarter, has affected his Majesty so deeply as to INJURE HIS HEALTH.

"In consequence of the applause which the Princess received from the PEOPLE on Monday last, while standing at one of the front windows of
"Carlton-

"Carlton-house with her infant in her arms, orders are said to have been
"given to remove the nursery from the front to the garden side of the
"Palace."

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, June the 4th.

"AN UNFORTUNATE Female received on Wednesday the *most positive*
"COMMANDS not to make her appearance again this season at the Opera !
"In consequence she was not present on Thursday, immediately sacrificing
"her ONLY amusement to the harsh and rigid demands of CONJUGAL
"obedience."

FROM THE SAME, Monday, June the 6th.

"THE Princess did not appear in Hyde-Park yesterday—to the GREAT
"disappointment of a VAST number of the nobility."

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 7th.

"THE order for removing the nursery from the front to the garden-side
"of Carlton-house, we are happy to find, has not been put in force."

"The next paragraph is relative to, "a letter addressed
to the King," which was never written, who shewed it to
a certain Countess" who never saw it, &c. &c.

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 8th.

"THE letter addressed to the King by the Princess, accidentally fell
"into the hands of a certain distinguished Female, who shewed it to a
"certain Countess, who took a copy for a certain illustrious young Gentle-

D

"man

“ man, who took fire on the occasion ; and hence arose the explosion which
 “ has so much agitated the public opinion.”

The reader may now be amused with hopes “ of an amicable accommodation,” with ridiculous Toasts, &c. and abundance of disagreeable nonsense,---finishing by a stumbling block in the way of Reconciliation !

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 9th.

“ WE experience infinite pleasure in repeating that matters are at this
 “ time in a prosperous train to an amicable accommodation between the
 “ Prince of Wales and his amiable Princess.

“ It is a circumstance no less awkward than true, that the Princess of
 “ Wales is now the second loyal toast in all public assemblies ; so that her
 “ better half is only drank figuratively, when a part is given for the whole !

“ The Prince of Wales will, it is said, return to town, from the *Grange*,
 “ to-morrow, in readiness to do duty at the *Grenadier Club* !

“ It is proposed by a few Ladies (the respectable part of the Fashionable
 “ World), to present an address to our virtuous and amiable Princess, expressive of their conviction of her innocence, their admiration of her conduct, and their determination to support her.

“ Curiosity led a great number of people to Berkeley-square on Monday
 “ last, under the mistaken idea that a certain Countess was at the house of her
 “ daughter in that quarter.

“ Next Saturday is likely, from some extraordinary circumstances to be the
 “ greatest Opera ever known in this country.”

“ AMONG

FROM THE SAME, Friday, June the 10th.

"AMONG the toasts, drank at a public meeting at Deal, on the King's birth-day, the following immediately succeeded his Majesty's health—Queen and Royal Family, one accepted."

"The embroidery of the hammer-cloth which the Duke of York's carriage displayed on the Birth-day, was by the fanciful hand of the Dutchess.

"The *uncome-at-able* situation of certain *jewels*, on the morning of the Birth-day Gala, whether accidental or designed, has thrown a stumbling block in the way of reconciliation."

Now, the Princess is warned "*not to create herself a party* among the public, at the expence of her husband ;---then, more nonsense of the same sort as before ;---then by way of change, some verses of a slanderous tendency ;---then an audience ;---then an abrupt and silent dismissal ; then another dismissal ;---then another great Female Personage is white-washed ;---then another illustrious Female is made to *weep bitterly* ;---then comes "the inflexible young gentleman of exalted rank,"---preceded by "a foreign gentleman," and followed by Mr. Fox, bowing to the Princess at her window, and the people saluting—and then—*exeunt omnes*.

FROM THE SAME Saturday, June the 11th.

"A MEMBER of the House of Commons has declared his determination
" of

" of bringing the matter before Parliament in the shape of an Address to his
 " Majesty."

FROM THE SAME, Monday, June the 13th.

" THE Prince of Wales is going to Southampton and the Isle of
 " Wight.

" *The Opera.* This entertainment was numerously and brilliantly at-
 " tended on Saturday evening. The Princess of Wales was not present,
 " and we COMMEND her for it. Whatever the conduct of the Prince
 " may be, she must feel the impropriety of being instrumental in CREAT-
 " ING HERSELF A PARTY among the public at the expence of her
 " husband.

" The non-appearance of *certain jewels* at the *Court Gala* is now account-
 " ed for, by that *Gossip Report*, differently from the story of the missing
 " key ;—she says, that though the strong box remained at—House,
 " the contents had been dexterously conveyed, for better *security*, to the
 " *three blue balls* in *Blowbladder-street*.

" A *white horse* is the crest of the Brunswick arms : we marvel, was it
 " affection to that symbol that induced Lady J. to take up her abode at the
 " White Horse at Uxbridge ?

" The present fashion of turning up the hair close behind, is derived
 " from the French custom of turning it up to admit the operation of the
 " *guillotine* ! It was called in Paris *à la victime*.

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 14th.

VORTIGERN AND ROWENA,
A COMI-TRAGEDY.

Suffrages given on the Thirty-seventh Day's Trial.

CLVII. THE P—— OF ——

- " If I'm apparent heire to sov'raigne power,
- " Why not my lordlie wille ride paramounte
- " O'er all the narrowe limmittes of men's mindes ?
- " Oweing to nought obedience, who, like me,
- " Can *womane's* shiftinge weaknesse controule,
- " To fonde allegiance bende her yielding harte,
- " In adoration, or in feare ? From her
- " Let tribute first in *love* be duellie paid,
- " A fruitfull homage nexte, in sighes, and teares !"

Page 1.—Not GENUINE.

" A message has been sent to a certain Personage, informing him, that his
" visits *must* be dispensed with at Windsor, until a perfect reconciliation is
" effected in another quarter.

" The Earl of J——y went and demanded an audience, a few morn-
" ings since, at Buckingham-house. On being admitted, he stated the
" severity of that popular prejudice which weighed against his family, and
" trusted, that it would receive those additional marks of *royal* protection,
" which alone could enable him to resist it. His M—— heard him with
" great complacency, and then, opening the door himself, gave him a bow;
" on which his Lordship retired, as may be supposed, not a little embar-
" rassed."

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday June the 15th.

"LADY JERSEY, we are well assured, has received a formal intimation from her Majesty, that her future attendance at Court, or at Carlton house, will be dispensed with. This circumstance will, we hope, be accepted as a sufficient refutation of the reports lately circulated respecting her Majesty's conduct on the occasion of the unhappy differences which at present subsist between an ILLUSTRIOUS COUPLE.

"A Foreign Gentleman, from the Court of Brunswick, has been in town for several days, and has had several interviews with the Princess of Wales. This day he sets out on his return for the Continent. On taking his leave of the amiable Princess, she was observed by her attendants to WEEP BITTERLY,

"It is with much concern we hear it reported, that a certain YOUNG GENTLEMAN of EXALTED RANK continues in such an inflexible temper towards his amiable and much neglected Consort, that all hopes of a speedy reconciliation have vanished for the present. The Privy Council has not taken up the affair.

"As Mr. Fox passed through Pall Mall on Monday, the Princess of Wales appeared at one of the windows of Carlton-house, with her infant child. Mr. Fox bowed repeatedly to her Royal Highness, and the people saluted her with many respectful huzzas."

We are now led into the regions of romance, and through an "invisible stair-case, which dexterously effects a secret descent into certain apartments;" and then our blind guide leaves us in the dark.

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, June the 16th.

"THE Commissioners to manage the affairs of a certain Personage have
 "peremptorily refused to pay Mr. S———'s demand of 400l. for
 "erecting the *invisible stair-case* at a *Marine Pavilion*, which dexterously
 "affected so secret a descent into *certain apartments*: this curious and
 "newly invented *commode* must therefore be *surveyed* by Lord K———,
 "who will, no doubt, set that *value* upon it which the morality of the
 "Country will sanction."

A great character is purposely introduced, in the next paragraph, as a prophane swearer and an encourager of lewdness and Debauchery; and a sneer is obliquely thrown at the ministers of the established religion. Quere—Is not this a proof that the man who comes, *over a hedge*, into a sheep-fold, "is a thief and a robber," and considers himself as such?

We are next informed that the *Reconciliation*, so often off and on, is now in a "prosperous train," and that the meeting of the Privy Council, so often talked of, was only in the writer's disordered imagination.

FROM THE SAME, Friday, June the 17th.

"LORD TH———W has resigned his office of *Mediator* at Carlton house; he says tis a d—d bad business on both sides, confirming him in
 "his early opinion, that people had better—*take each other's word* for their
 "mutual comforts, than that of a *prafon*!

"It

"It is with much pleasure we assure our readers, that the indefatigable exertions of Lord Moira, to effect a reconciliation between the Prince and Princess of Wales, have been attended with success; in consequence of which a happy re-union is daily expected to take place. Matters being in such a prosperous train, the Privy Council, at the request of her Majesty, have relinquished their intention of entering into the Merits of the case."

The very next paragraph boldly informs us, that the preceding dreaming Journalist had told us a falsehood, and that "the hopes of a *reconciliation* are likely to prove fallacious." The concluding part must have been written with a pen of brass,---accustomed to "the grossest and most unpardonable indelicacy." The lingering existence of this Journal is a disgrace to the manners of the present age.

FROM THE MORNING POST, Saturday, June the 18th.

"IT is with great concern we announce to the public, that the hopes lately entertained of a domestic reconciliation, in an exalted Family, are likely to prove fallacious. The Gentleman is said to have declared to a Personage of the highest rank, that *the reason which made it impossible for him to regard the Lady with affection, were of a nature not to be disclosed to a third person without the grossest and most unpardonable indelicacy.*"

Give a dog an ill name &c. Applicable to the next paragraph.

FROM THE TRUE BITON, Saturday June 18th.

"BETS are laid that Lady J. will be dismissed before the end of threee months."

"month. We have in vain looked so long for the event, that we almost
"despair of seeing any thing in a certain quarter that is *decorous* or *proper*."

The next announces a "retreat" which was never intended, and which the writer himself knew was only a supposition of his own.

FROM THE TELEGRAPH, Wednesday, May the 25th.

"ANOTHER retreat of the House of Brunswick is talked of from this
"country ; but we suppose it is nothing but the idle rumour of the day."

Let those who are able, decypher the meaning of what follows :

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, May the 26.

"THE Prince of Wales is the *mode* of personal merit—in regard to the
"Princess as well as to every other respect."

The next paragraph contains a falsehood, invented purposely for the sake of throwing dirt at a person high in office.

FROM THE SAME, Thursday, May the 26.

"THE connubial disagreements in a certain quarter are such as must, it
"is believed, come before the public for inspection. There is a party here
"who will appear in the most disgraceful light. The disappointed husband
"is ready to say, 'So, Sir, you have kept your word with me!' The fact is,
"that promises were made, to effect the alliance, which have not been rea-
"lized, and now, it is probable, never may."

E

Now

The same champion is ready to vindicate two opposite parties, and to prove that every thing which has been said on the the subject is absolutely false. In the next breath he is angry with John Bull, for being partially of his own opinion.

FROM THE SAME, Friday, May the 27th.

"THERE has been much idle and disrespectful rumour respecting a great Personage and his amiable Lady. We shall aver, that she is as happy as ever—and that he continues to be, as much as ever, the model of every virtue."

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 1st.

"JOHN BULL never does any thing by halves; he is now as mad in favour of the present Princess of Wales as he was *enraged* against the last."

Instantly afterwards the same John Bull is commended for having "very properly. taken side with the injured party." Then follows a gross misrepresentation of the conduct of the Editors of the treasury and opposition papers.

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, June the 4th.

FRACAS IN HIGH LIFE.

"THIS affair has engaged the attention of the public for some weeks, and the public seem to think they understand the merits of the case. John Bull, accordingly, who, left to his natural feelings, *can do no wrong*, has very properly taken side with the injured, and, otherwise, friendless party."

"The detail of this affair *has never been given in the papers*. There are ob-

"vious

"vious REASONS WHY IT SHOULD NOT. In conveying, however, what was proper and convenient, it is rather singular that respectful language should be entirely confined to the papers *not* connected with the Ministry, while those which are known to be expressly and directly in their service, have spared no coarseness of invective and epithet, when speaking of an illustrious Personage and his Mother."

Every Editor of a Newspaper pretends to have *Authority* to assert any thing which has no foundation in truth.

FROM THE SAME, Monday, June the 6th.

"CARLTON-HOUSE.—We have authority to say, that both Lady Jersey and Mrs. Pelham keep their *employments* there."

Those Ladies who, by *authority* of the paragraphist, were just now assured they might keep their employments, are, immediately afterwards, informed that they must "go out." Every one concerned is to take a potion of Lethean Water, and "an act of oblivion to pass." But, the Personage who was just before, asserted to be "the *mode* of personal merit," is now declared to be "*as bad as ever*".

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 7th.

"CARLTON-HOUSE.—We are happy to hear that all differences are about to have a *temporary* accommodation. Lady Jersey and Mrs. Pelham go out—the nursery is removed back again to the *front* room—an *act of oblivion* is to pass—and not a word more to be said on one side or the other, of the Grange, or of the Swan Inn at Frankfort!"

"By

"By the latest accounts last night, HIS Royal Highness's indisposition was not abated. He is as *bad* as ever."

The next paragraph praises a certain Countess, for the express purpose of introducing a Falshood, with a view to asperse the character of an exalted female Personage.

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday, June the 15th.

"LADY JERSEY has not been at Buckingham house for this week past. She has been out of town ; but she continues as much a favourite with her Majesty, and she is equally deserving it."

Now comes an oracles ;---read his characteristic Ambiguities. This well informed and inspired Hero, distended like a balloon, dismisses one Lady, and appoints another, calls a Privy Council, puts off a reconciliation, &c. The next Journalist blames his competitors for being as scurrilous as himself, and relates an event which never took place,---and still another, in the bombastic stile of a Novelist. Then follows a specimen of disgusting self-praise,---and a declaration of high respect to an amiable female Personage, whose conduct is, instantly tho' covertly and by implication, pronounced to have been *highly indecorous*.

FROM THE ORACLE, Monday, June the 13th, 1796.

"THE Opera on Saturday, in the pit and galleries, was fully attended.
 "The boxes not yet being quite regulated between the old subscribers and the
 "new candidates, were not so full as they will be on Tuesday next.—There
 "had a rumour gone abroad, that an ILLUSTRIOUS GENTLEMAN and

" LADY

"LADY were to be present in one box. It would be an idle exhibition without the slightest value ; a political manœuvre, upon which no reliance could be placed.

"Our new Heraldry is hands, not hearts."

FROM THE SAME, Wednesday. June the 15th.

"HAD Lady Jersey resigned her official situation at Carlton house, of which there is at this time no appearance, the Countess of Errol would have succeeded."

FROM THE SAME, Friday June the 17th.

"THE Royal Reconciliation, it is with sorrow we mention, is postponed to some future period. To the PRIVY COUNCIL, it seems, the final adjustment of this business will soon be committed."

FROM BELL'S WEEKLY MESSENGER, Sunday May 29.

"We are sorry to hear it reported that dissensions have taken place between a new married couple, whom we hoped, and still hope, to see living in the same conjugal felicity and harmony for which the parents of one of the illustrious personages have so long been remarkable, and so much admired.—At the same time we cannot help reprobating the scurrility which some of the public prints have remarked upon matters that are out of their province, and the boldness with which they have asserted facts that cannot come within their observation.

"Friday forenoon, the Princess of Wales sitting at the window of the Nursery at Carlton-house, with her infant in her arms, attracted the curiosity of some persons passing by, whose looks evinced a strong desire to see the eventual Heiress to the throne ; when the lovely Princess, with
"that

“ that affability and good humour which mark all her actions, and entitle
 “ her to the respect and esteem of every loyal and feeling mind, immediately
 “ stood up with her face to the window, and exposed her infant to their
 “ sight.”

FROM THE SAME, Sunday, June the 3th.

“ THE Court commenced at two o'clock—and the Courtiers, as, well
 “ as the populace, seemed to wait with eager anxiety for the appearance
 “ of the Prince and Princess of Wales. It was soon known, that his Royal
 “ Highness was in the country, and not expected to return ; and therefore
 “ the presence of the Princess was rendered more doubtful. On alighting,
 “ all grace and loveliness beamed in her countenance, on being hailed with
 “ acclamations of respect from the crowd that were present.—In passing
 “ through the Galleries and Presence Chamber into the Drawing-room, she
 “ received the most unfeigned and warmest testimonies of the people's love
 “ —and that was returned with the tenderest emotions, and the most lively
 “ sensibility.—Tears of gratitude, were perceived streaming down
 “ her cheeks, while her person was perpetually bowing in respectful ac-
 “ knowledgement for the kindness expressed.

“ If the public prints, and the busy tongue of malevolence and slander
 “ would not be so active in their endeavours to widen a breach already too
 “ lamentable, we should hope that the quarrelling of lovers would prove
 “ the renewal of love.

“ We have authority to say, that Lady Jersey is *not* on a visit at Castle
 “ Howard ; and that she has received no invitation from the Noble Owner
 “ of that Mansion.”

FROM THE SAME, Sunday, June the 12th.

“ ON the subject in high life, which has engrossed the attention of the
 “ public

" public so much of late, we have been hitherto *particularly cautious in giving*
 " *currency to the rumours of the day, and, we hope, with a dutiful respect to the*
 " *distinguished characters who have been the object of animadversion.*

" The Princess of Wales was not at the Opera on Tuesday, as it was
 " thought HIGHLY INDECOROUS to have the appearance of taking part
 " in the public voice against her husband."

FROM THE SAME, Sunday, June the 19th.

" THE greatest interest which the public evidently and feelingly take in
 " the unfortunate difference between certain High Personages, has caused
 " us to attend with peculiar anxiety to the various accounts circulated on
 " that head, through the numerous channels of public information, since our
 " last. The communications given in the several daily prints on this impor-
 " tant subject, differ almost as much from each other, as the several features
 " of those who write them. For our own part, we have received several in-
 " timations which we should be extremely sorry to believe *could* be true ;
 " and *some* which we have no hesitation in pronouncing *cannot* be otherwise
 " than false. It is our duty, however, to sum up the week's account as
 " nearly and clearly as possible to the exact truth of the fact ; and we are
 " extremely sorry to say, that from the highest and most undoubted autho-
 " rity, *circumstances remain as nearly as possible the same as when our last pa-*
 " *per went to press.*

" The Prince and Princess of Wales are expected shortly at Windsor on
 " a visit.

" The Prince of Wales is going on a trip to Southampton and the Isle of
 " Wight."

Another Journalist begins his adventurous Career, by
 declaring that he means, unless he should be ready to burst
 with

with some secret, to preserve a *cautious and respectful silence* ; but, hints, at the same time, that he may be *obliged* to let out what all good men would be grieved to *hear* ! Now read, in the next paragraph, the sentence which, were reports true, he would pass on a certain illustrious Personage. But these reports are suspected to be the fabrication of the supporters of sedition.

From the St. JAMES'S CHRONICLE ; May 24 to May 26.

" THERE are some disagreeable whispers in circulation, relative to two
 " very high and distinguished Personages, which it would give us *great pain*
 " to find founded in truth ; and on which, therefore, we shall preserve a
 " CAUTIOUS and RESPECTFUL SILENCE, unless FACTS should, un-
 " fortunately, OBLIGE us to detail WHAT all good men would be grieved to
 " hear.,"

FROM THE SAME ; May 26 to May 28.

" IT is with *extreme regret* that we read, in almost every daily paper, paragraphs alluding to the conduct of a Personage in high life. It would
 " stain OUR paper to repeat what we *sincerely* hope are merely injurious as-
 " persions on his public and private character. If it is possible that the ru-
 " mours, now in circulation, are founded in truth, we know *no punishment*
 " too severe, even to the LOSS OF HIS MOST SPLENDID PATRIMONY
 " —Such behaviour is, in fact, that of the worst of SUICIDES ; who de-
 " stroys, not life indeed, but all that is worth living for—fame, peace, and
 " honour, at a blow.

" The supporters of SEDITION are bold, active, and vigilant ; and never
 " more so than at the period of a general election. It is not enough, there-
 " fore, for the friends of ORDER, throughout the country, to be peaceable
 " and

"and rational—they must use ACTIVE VIRTUE, or their good will and
 "their good wishes will prove of little avail."

Of the three following paragraphs one only is worth notice. The fact alluded to had not the least foundation except in the writer's wandering imagination.

FROM THE SAME, May 28 to May the 31st.

"YESTERDAY the Princess of Wales took an airing in Hyde-park,
 "where her reception was *highly flattering* from all ranks of people."

FROM THE SAME, June 2 to June 4.

"A STORY, with respect to the opening of a letter, has made much
 "noise in high life. The fact is, NOW thus stated." (Then follows a
 "fresh story, reflecting on the Queen.

FROM THE SAME, June 9 to June 12,

"THE King, Queen, &c. &c. were at Ascot races on Thursday; but
 "the Prince was not present, as it is asserted in most of the daily papers of
 "this day.

The next paragraph informs us, that the Princess *allows herself one public amusement.*

FROM THE TIMES, Monday, June the 20th.

"KING'S THEATRE. The Princess was again received on Saturday
 "with tokens of affection; but the *fulnes* of British feelings was corrected by
 "the fear that too marked a distinction might keep her from this Theatre,

F

"and

"and from enjoying the ONLY public amusement she ALLOWS herself
"to indulge in."

The next tells us that she was supposed,—by the writer
no doubt,—to be under "some restraint."

FROM THE TRUE BRITON Monday, June the 20th.

"THE OPERA. The public were again gratified on Saturday by the
"presence of the Princess; and the sight was the more gratifying, as a re-
"port prevailed that some restraint had been imposed on her Royal High-
"ness."

The Writer of the following paragraphs asserts one
thing which was not true, and condemns a Lady without
proof; but,—what diabolical malice could prevail on him
to allude to a rumour, at which human sensibility is ago-
nized, and which, he is obliged immediately to own, had
not the least foundation! Who could believe that there
should be men possessed of such depraved hearts as to make
this very rumour the subject of caricatures! Horrible
proofs of the degenerate morals and manners of the pre-
sent age!

FROM THE OBSERVER, Saturday, May 29.

"THE Prince, on Tuesday took an airing in his Phaeton with Lady
"Jersey.

"Lady —— is said to be one of the most adroit hands in England at
"opening a Letter that is not directed to herself."

FROM

FROM THE SAME, Saturday, June 5.

"RUMOUR, last night, imputed the act of suicide to a person of high rank. The report was in general acceptance, but contradicted at the Gentleman's residence, where we, with *many others*, made enquiry on the subject."

What would he deserve who should pour oil into a raging and destructive conflagration! What does he deserve who could prevail upon himself to publish the following falsehoods, invented by himself? How strangely constituted must that being appear who could, immediately afterwards, indulge himself in low, unmeaning wit?

FROM THE MORNING HERALD, Monday, June 20.

"THE inflexibility of a certain distinguished *Young Gentleman* towards his amiable Consort, has affected her to such an extent, that she has of late given herself up to melancholy and grief, from which, we regret to hear, her Physicians apprehend the most serious consequences. It was for the purpose of diverting her attention for a few hours, that she was permitted to visit the Opera on Saturday evening.

"The Princess of Wales, by advice of her physicians, drinks asses milk every morning. The state of melancholy under which she now labours, it is much feared, will, if not speedily removed, bring on a decline. If it should prove that the cause of such unmerited distress is a person from whom she had, by every moral and human tie, to expect protection and love, instead of desertion and hatred, what must the world think of such a man, or what must *such a man* think of himself?

"The

"The Prince of Wirtemberg sets out on his journey for England, to receive the fair hand of the Princess Royal, the latter end of the present month.

"The ——— of ——— was in town *incog.* on Friday night, and has given his *ultimatum* to the Duke of Leeds and Earl Moira; viz. that nothing can move him from the line he has adopted. He complained with much asperity of the violation of compact for the full discharge of his debts, under which he was first induced to consent to the union. Lord M——asked him whether he had reflected upon all the consequences which might ensue? to which he answered, '*Most seriously, my Lord, and am prepared for them in their fullest extent!*' Here, of course, ended all negotiation on this unfortunate event.

"We learn from the reports of the Royal Nursery, that the infant Princess has just cut a tooth!"

Praise well-deserved, gratuitous falsehood, and condemnation without proof are the contents of the following paragraph:

FROM THE GAZETTEER, Monday, June 20.

"THE Opera heightening the lovely grandeur of the scene, and drawing out a proof, honourable to the best feelings of a British audience, that flighted innocence and accomplishments will never want protection; the Princess of Wales, attended by the Countess of Caernarvon and the Earl of Cholmondeley, entered—not at an early hour, nor in those cheerful spirits which, until of late, were constitutional, but which, we are sorry to learn, are now so declining, that the Faculty have advised her to pursue a particular regimen, and amuse a wounded mind as much as possible, by
"all

“all the various proper avocations, to hinder it from becoming riveted to
 “one melancholy consideration. ‘God save the King’ was called for in
 “such a manner, that, whilst it conveyed notes of loyal and affectionate
 “praise to one quarter, it seemed to carry sounds of merited reprehension to
 “another.”

Here follows again condemnation without proof. The writer, with unblushing effrontery, and in the style of monarchs, immediately adds—“*We do not know the merits of the case !*”

FROM THE MORNING CHRONICLE, Monday, June 20.

“OPERA. The known fact of a negotiation being opened under most
 “respectable auspices, for the adjustment of all differences between the
 “PRINCE and PRINCESS of WALES, prepared the fashionable world to
 “hope that they would have both appeared on Saturday evening at the
 “Opera, to give happy proof of their reconciliation, and the Theatre was
 “crowded by this expectation. The Princess, however, made her ap-
 “pearance in her box, without the Prince, and thereby ANNOUNCED that
 “the disreputable quarrel, so injurious to public morals and to the decencies
 “of polished life, continues UNABATED. *We avoid all comments on the*
“case, as we do not know its merits. We have only to report as the brief
 “chronicle of the day, that her Royal Highness was received by the audience
 “with the generosity which Englishmen are ever likely to feel in favour of
 “a Lady, who appears to them in the light of a deserted Wife, and an un-
 “happy Mother.”

How came the same man to be informed that the Prin-
 cess went to the Opera “by the express desire of the
 King?”

King?" How came he to know that the Prince was to go to Winchester races? It is well known he was not there. How did this man obtain his information respecting family secrets which he could have no opportunity of knowing? How is it possible that he should be so well acquainted with the *advice, assent* and contradictory opinions of the first Personages in the Kingdom? The insolence, the conceit, and self-sufficiency of our modern paragraphists would be highly ridiculous, were the consequences harmless; but fools can, unfortunately, do mischief.

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June 21.

"THE Prince of Wales resided with the Duke of Clarence at Richmond during the negotiation last week, and set out for the Grange on Saturday, the treaty having broken off.—Her Royal Highness went to the Opera by the express desire of the King. The prince means to make his first appearance in public at the Winchester races.

"There will be a legal question of great importance to settle in case of the separation of the Prince and Princess of Wales. Will her Royal Highness be entitled to her *full dower* of 50,000 a year as a *separate maintenance*?

"It is said that the negotiation broke off on the following point: The Prince consented to the dismissal from his household of all the parties whose conduct has given offence to her Royal Highness, but insisted that they should be received as visitors at Carlton-House. To this the Princess, by the advice of the King, positively refused her assent. The Queen thought the proposition very reasonable,

"This evening, it is expected, will be one of the most crowded Operas of the present successful season; it being one of the last performances of
" the

"the Opera of Antigono, which is a great favorite not only with the public
 "but also with one of the most illustrious and popular Personages in the
 "kingdom."

Now, the two Royal Brothers are at variance ;---an *unhappy stranger* is going home,---and a *certain gentleman* only lives *near* his own home !——Fallsdood all.

FROM THE SAME, Tuesday, June the 21st

"POLYPHERCON will excuse us for declining the publication of
 "his letter letter to Lord Thurlow :—it would not fail to subject us to a
 "prosecution.

"Lord Moira continue his endeavours to effect a reconciliation between
 "the Prince and Princess of Wales : but the obstinacy of the former be-
 "comes every day more determined. The Duke of York is so disgusted
 "with the conduct of his brother on this occasion, that he has declined all
 "further interference in the business.

"A certain *unhappy stranger*, finding all hopes of reconciliation ground-
 "less, has determined on a departure from this kingdom, as soon as the
 "necessary appeal can be made to Parliament for a provision suitable to her
 "rank and misfortunes. A formal note to this effect has been transmitted
 "to a Great Personage from the Court of Brunswick !

"When a *certain Gentleman* came to town on Friday last, he passed his
 "evening at a house in Pall-mall, without troubling the Porter to open the
 "gates of his own !"

The next paragraph scarcely merits notice, were it not
 for the astonishing impudence with which the writer speaks
 of his own contemptible self, in the plural number,---and
 for

for delivering his own insignificant wish, and manifesting his own puny resentment in the name of the British Nation.

FROM THE TRUE BRITON, Wednesday, June the 22nd.

"We trust that the report of the intention of a certain illustrious Personage to quit this kingdom is erroneous; and that she will continue to live among a people who know how to *pity*, if not to RESENT her misfortune, and to honor her virtue.

"If the PRINCE of WALES should unfortunately persevere in his present determination, the Privy Council must effect the dismissal so properly insisted upon, and *Parliament* must settle all matters.

"An impudent *Jacobin print* of yesterday treated its readers with, &c. &c."

Horrible disgusting has been the task which the Reviewer of the preceding paragraphs has voluntarily imposed on himself. His motives, he is convinced, were laudable. It was absolutely necessary to rake up all this filth, offensive as it may be to the public, otherwise, scarcely any one could have believed that the British Press was such a foul source of calumny, scurrility and impudence, and that these should have been directed, without discrimination, against the first family in these kingdoms,--- the first pillar of the British Constitution! Never before has any family, among the meanest subjects, experienced such wanton attacks, with impunity. With the real state of the case not one of the paragraphists was, or could be acquainted

acquainted. The whole mass of filth, now exposed to the eyes of the reader, is, therefore, the produce of execrable pens, guided by malice, to answer the sinister purposes of venal souls and to rouse a spirit of sedition in the minds of the public; but, providentially, without the intended effects.

Altho' the seeds of dissension have been sown, with incredible profusion and with infernal industry, by scribblers of all denominations, their vile purposes have been, most happily, frustrated. A perfect reconciliation has taken place,---and the wish of every true Briton is---*esto perpetua*.

But, even since the reconciliation, the restless spirit of Journalists has again been at work; and it is with regret that the Reviewer of their horrible labors, is obliged again to request the attention of his readers to what follows;---and it is his duty to inform them that, in the two first paragraphs they will not find one word of truth.

FROM THE MORNING HERALD, Friday, July 1.

"THE PRINCESS OF WALES—*There is no art, however contemptible, which has not been used in a certain quarter to detract from the popularity of this amiable Lady. About the same time that her letters were opened by a certain Countess, her Highness was applied to for a situation by a poor widow with eight children, whose husband, it was supposed, had been murdered by some privates of the Westminster militia. The Princess, lamenting her inability to comply with the request, transmitted her mite, as she termed it, of twenty guineas. This passed through the hands of Lady J——y; in consequence of whose representation, Earl Ch——y*

G

"next

" next day received a letter, stating the widow's humble gratitude to the
 " P——— for his liberal donation !

" A new claim is said to have been lately preferred against a certain distinguished YOUNG GENTLEMAN, by the heirs of the late DUC
 " D'ORLEANS, for a considerable sum lent by the deceased to the person
 " alluded to."

The following remarks on the conduct of the Editor of the TIMES are, if compared with the malicious trash published by the Editor of the Morning Post, the severest satire on the conduct of the latter himself which his enemies could have devised against him.

PRINCESS OF WALES.

" WE are extremely concerned to remark that *The Times* of yesterday
 " should accuse this Paper of Jacobine Principles, because we support the
 " cause of Monarchy in the person of the much injured PRINCE of
 " WALES.

" On the contrary we think that *The Times*, and every other Paper that
 " pretends to support GOVERNMENT, and not His Royal Highness—are
 " Jacobine Papers in the strict sense of the word—as they incite people to
 " treat the *future* MONARCH with disrespect, and consequently lend their
 " aid to the *undermining* of the MONARCHY.

" We say again, and we defy contradiction, that throughout the whole of
 " the unfortunate misunderstanding, the Prince of Wales's conduct has been
 " highly approved by the KING, the Queen, and every other branch of the
 " Royal Family.

" Even the Duchess of York, who is a Royal Stranger as well as the
 " Princess of Wales, has always approved of the conduct of His Royal
 " Highness

"Highness in regard to the Princess. And surely if that conduct had not
 "been strictly proper, she would have rather taken the part of the other
 "stranger, than joined with all the Royal Family in favour of His Royal
 "Highness.

"The *Times* is not, perhaps aware, that in flurring the character of the
 "Prince of Wales, respecting the late misunderstanding with the Princess,
 "they are wounding the feelings of a GREAT PERSONAGE *himself*, in the
 "tenderest manner, as he has of late fully made up his mind on the business,
 "after the most strict investigation.

"As to the Marchioness of Stafford being the Chief Counsellor of the
 "Princess, which *The Times* so confidently asserts; that being admitted from
 "the above source of information, we shall only ask the Marchioness, 'If
 "His Royal Highness ever treated the Princess, but with the utmost delicacy
 "and attention? He never once did.

"It is the infamous, false, and base slanders of the Prince having used the
 "Princess unhand somely, that have irritated the public mind—But when
 "the Public are informed, and all the Circles of Fashion, after deep investi-
 "gation, NOW FIND that the Princess HERSELF has been obliged
 "to declare that he never SPOKE much less ACTED TO HER but with the
 "GREATEST DELICACY; how must that Public feel for a much in-
 "jured character, that has been so wantonly and maliciously slandered for
 "these two months past, on insinuations as false as they are cruel and unjustifiable.

"If *The Times* acts from ignorance, we pity their error, and take this
 "method of setting them right; we hint besides, that they should be better
 "informed themselves, before they pretend to inform others. But if they
 "act from PERSONAL malevolence, every man that values the peace of
 "society and the present frame of the Constitution, must spurn their abandoned
 "machination with the utmost indignation, In that case, *The Times*

"will

"will be most justly accused, and of the most *crooked* and *subtle* JACOBINISM will be lauded forth as the most *pure* and *disinterested* Loyatism."

"We conclude this article, by hoping and earnestly recommending, that her Royal Highness's good sense will soon discard all *secret advisers*, both here and *elsewhere*, and take no one into her confidence, that is not approved of by THEIR MAJESTIES, and His Royal Highness the PRINCE himself! This is the only true way to obtain *lasting* POPULARITY."

The two following paragraphs are scarcely worth notice.

FROM THE SAME, Friday, July 8.

"As the PRINCE of WALES has consented that Lady JERSEY shall no longer remain about the person of the PRINCESS, we understand her Royal Highness has also declined any further solicitation for an office to Count T——n about the person of the *Heir Apparent*."

FROM THE SAME, Friday, July 8.

"The PRINCESS OF WALES's Portrait is not yet added to Her MAJESTY's collection of Pictures at Buckingham-house."

The Author of the following Letter to the Princess of Wales had *solid* reasons, *which concerned himself alone*, for publishing the scurrilous abuse which it contains, of the illustrious Female, whom, *from the same motives*, he had so often awkwardly praised and flattered before. Let the reader judge of the heart of that man who can so easily, *from selfish motives*, blame and praise the same person for actions

actions to which his blame and praise can neither add nor diminish.

FROM THE SAME, Monday, July 25.

TO HER ROYAL HIGHNESS THE PRINCESS OF WALES

"When the Opera Audience were so vociferous in their plaudits to
 "to your Royal Highness, it was the generous burst of British compassion,
 "at supposed indignities, which you were imagined to suffer from the
 "Prince, your husband. Indignation impelled them to applaud the ideal
 "martyr, and espouse your cause with all the blunt, but sincere fervour that
 "springs from our national gallantry. But when the frenzy of their feelings
 "subsided into calm enquiry, they found that his Royal Highness had never
 "once spoken, much less acted with rudeness to you, and which you your-
 "self have acknowledged, their compassion became divided. While they
 "felt for the Royal Stranger that was imprudently thrown into the fore-
 "ground of public observation, by injudicious advisers, they at the same
 "time felt many a severe pang for the unmerited obloquy thrown upon the
 "Stranger at home! For they found, that after the most strict investigation,
 "the Prince had always treated your Royal Highness with the utmost deli-
 "cacy and tenderness.

"From this change of public sentiment, among that part of the public
 "which are best informed upon the subject, your Royal Highness will ob-
 "serve, that nothing is so fleeting, or so fatal, as a popularity founded upon
 "Misrepresentation.

"I was induced to address this Letter to you, from perusing a pert invec-
 "tive, filled with all the falsity of calumny, in a paper of Friday, addressed
 "to your Royal Highness. The material points of that farrago, I shall
 "briefly answer.

"It is there asserted, that 'you have been under prohibition of conver-
 "sing with your nearest relations without a witness.' By female cabals, per-
 "haps,

“ haps, you have been prevented from conversing with the nearest and
 “ dearest relations you ought to have on earth, I mean your husband, with-
 “ out a witness. But can any other instance be produced of your Royal
 “ Highness being precluded from the conversation of any of the Royal Fa-
 “ mily, who are certainly your nearest relations? I am confident that no
 “ person on earth ever before heard of such a preclusion?

“ Respecting Dr. Randolph, it is stated that ‘he should have carried the
 “ packet himself, in defiance to the vulgar usage introduced into the Prince’s
 “ household.’ Dr. Randolph is perhaps to blame, in point of prudence, at
 “ least in point of respect, in not answering, that the packet would arrive
 “ safe by the mode of conveyance he had adopted. Indeed he ought to have
 “ carried the packet himself. For if his ‘gratitude and esteem annexed a
 “ sense of duty to his departure for Germany, in case the Duke of York had
 “ any thing of importance to entrust him with; or if any consequence should
 “ attach to the delivery of Her Royal Highness’s letters at Brunswick,’
 “ surely he ought to have paid an equal attention to the re-delivery of Her
 “ Royal Highness’s letter at Brighton. But I believe Dr. Randolph to be
 “ a sort of Parson Adams, whose simplicity and inattention greatly coun-
 “ terbalanced his real goodness, and his great learning. As to the ‘vulgar
 “ usages’ of sending, we suppose, all packets, &c. by the coach, instead of
 “ the conveyance of Messengers, such an insinuated slur redounds much to
 “ the honour of His Royal Highness. By the circumscribed limits which
 “ have been adopted towards the payment of his debts, the expence of Mes-
 “ sengers cannot be afforded; and therefore those ‘vulgar usages’ which have
 “ been introduced into the household, are highly the subject of praise, in-
 “ stead of being made a pretext for unjust censure.

“ Your Royal Highness is said to have been ‘till lately, in the opinion
 “ of the public, a kind of, I cannot say a State Recluse, for very little state
 “ surrounded your person, &c.’ In answer to this, it can only be asked, When
 “ were you a state recluse? Were you not always your own mistress? Can

“ any

"any one state any circumstance of your being debarred every privilege
 "that you wished to enjoy?—No one. As to the little state that surrounds
 "your person, that is fully answered by the Resolutions of Parliament, and
 "the very proper steps taken in consequence of such Resolutions. Your
 "pretended friends, who are the country's foes, ought to have stated them
 "as accusations against Parliament, and not against the Prince; your
 "husband."

"In regard 'to the treatment you have met with since your arrival in this
 "country, &c. are you not conscious that as the Prince has always treated
 "you tenderly, and that every insinuation to the contrary, is a gross and dis-
 "loyal falsehood, must you not spurn at all those indirect slanders of your
 "much abused husband? I am confident you must.

"You are, after 'being seated in the hearts of all virtuous people,' that
 "know nothing of the matter, 'called on to inspire yourself with courage
 "in future how to act for yourself, to begin to command, and to be as great
 "and uncontrouled as you ought.' Really there is so much burlesque in
 "this absurd apostrophe, that your own good sense will point out the absur-
 "dity of my commenting upon this bombast passage.

"Your inimical advocate, after acquainting you that you have nothing to
 "fear, tells us that 'Every heart in this land looks up to *your* conduct, as a
 "consolation for the affliction a great and generous Nation has long experi-
 "enced for the conduct of others.' This is surely outdoing the former
 "burlesque, contained in the above quotation! Here is an implication of e-
 "very one of the Royal Family but yourself! Your Royal Highness is de-
 "corated as a William III. in petticoats, to deliver us, by insinuation, from
 "the cruelty of George III. and his most disobedient Queen; whom but to
 "copy, would only be to reach perfection.

"Your Royal Highness will insure yourself, by modelling from that
 "excellent original, to love and revere your husband! ah, copy her! and

"tread |

" tread, like her, in the wise and secure steps of a great people's lasting
 " love !

" Let not the public, in future have the least cause to remark, in compli-
 " ment to a female cabal, that there is any coincidence whatever in the fol-
 " lowing passage from DODDINGTON'S DIARY, alluding to a Princess of
 " Brunswick, your Royal Highness's aunt, who came over to this country, by
 " the recommendations of his late Majesty, to be wedded to the then Prince
 " of Wales, our present beloved Sovereign. But owing to the judicious
 " management of the Princess Dowager, his mother, that marriage was set
 " aside ; and the Prince, fortunately for the country, and for his own lasting
 " happiness, reserved his hand till he came to the throne, when he gave it to
 " our present Queen, the most accomplished, and the best of women that
 " ever yet filled so elevated a station !

" The passage, Madam from Lord Malcombe's Diary, is this :

" She, (meaning the Princess of Wales) told me that the King had sent
 " to invite the two Princesses of Brunswick. They came, but their mother,
 " the King of Prussia's sister, who was not invited, came with them. We
 " talked of the match, &c."

" [Here and indeed throughout the whole of the Diary, in many parts
 " grossly exceptionable as to Lord Malcombe himself, yet the Princess
 " Dowager of Wales appears in such an amiable, nay attractive point of
 " view, that if ever any one wishes to know her real feelings as a wife, a pa-
 " rent, and a loyal subject ; a perusal of this diary will place her in the most
 " agreeable light, and wipe off those stains that were thrown upon her cha-
 " racter by the gross rancour of party.

" Afterwards she says, 'that the young woman, (the Princess of Brunswick)
 " was said to be handsome, and had all good qualities, and abundance of wit,
 " &c. but if she took after her mother, she would never do here. The Duke
 " of Brunswick, indeed, her father, is a very worthy man. Pray, Madam, said I,

" what

" what is her mother, as I know nothing at all about her. Why said she;
 " her mother is the most intriguing, meddling, and also the most satirical,
 " farcical person in the world, and will always make mischief wherever
 " she comes. Such a character would not do for our George. It would
 " not only hurt him in his public, but make him uneasy in his private
 " situation."

" God forbid that the above character should ever be applicable, in
 " the least degree, to your Royal Highness. But if you wish to secure a so-
 " lid and permanent applause in this country there is one person that can
 " point out the path in which you ought to walk; and that is, her present
 " Majesty.

" Solicit her counsel, implore her countenance; and secure her regard!
 " Oh! harken to *her* and your happiness is secured! For she, and she
 " only can teach you the practical duty of a wife, a mother, and a subject."

The following paragraph contains a compliment to a
 Royal Duke, who stands in no need of such compli-
 ments. *Laudari a laudato Viro*---this alone would please
 His Royal Highness.

FROM THE SUN, JUNE, the 14th.

" IT is highly to the credit of the Duke of Gloucester that he has taken
 " so decided a part respecting the Princess of Wales. His Royal Highness
 " is a constant visitor of the Princess domestically, and when she amuses her-
 " self at the Opera. To the active and unceasing efforts of the Duke, we
 " understand, that a reconciliation between the Parties is in a great measure
 " to be attributed."

The next paragraph is only remarkable for a vile, mali-
 cious pun.

H

" We

FROM THE SAME, JUNE the 14th.

"WE are told that the French are going to attack JERSEY; and, in *one* sense, we care not if they take *Jersey* to themselves."

Let the Lady who is the object of the following paragraphs take the trouble, if she thinks proper, to satisfy the curiosity of the writer.

FROM THE SAME, JULY the 22nd.

"It has not unreasonably been deemed very strange, that Lady JERSEY should endeavour to prevent Dr. RANDOLPH from coming to London, to make enquiries after the parcel, and using the name of the PRINCE and PRINCESS of WALES (she best knows with what *authority*) for that purpose. It is no less strange that Lord JERSEY, notwithstanding the anxiety he expresses for a proper investigation of the subject, should not have made enquiries at the only place where he was likely to get a satisfactory answer. viz. at the Office where Dr. RANDOLPH says he deposited it.

"Why does not Lady JERSEY, instead of *beating about the bush*, come forward at once with a *positive declaration* that she never received the parcel sent her by Dr. RANDOLPH? For the correspondence, which she has published, proves *nothing in her favour*.

It is not likely that the same lady should have written the following letter.

FROM THE SAME, JULY the 25th.

"THE following Letter, said to have been written by the Countess of JERSEY, to her Royal Highness the PRINCESS of WALES, on the resignation of her office of Lady of the Bedchamber to the PRINCESS, was
"inferred

“ inserted in a Paper of yesterday. The terms of the Letter are such as to
 “ preclude the necessity of a comment. Her Ladyship’s anxiety to convince
 “ the world that she is under no obligation, on account of her appointment,
 “ to any body but the PRINCE of WALES ; and that her *gratitude* and
 “ *respect* are solely confined to His Royal Highness, is perfectly consistent ;
 “ and if the Public wanted any additional proof of her Ladyship’s *modesty*,
 “ *humility*, and *decorum* such proof is now before them.

“ I seize the earliest opportunity in my power, to have the honour of in-
 “ forming your Royal Highness, that I have this day obtained permission
 “ of His Royal Highness the PRINCE of WALES, to resign into his hands,
 “ the situation of Lady of the Bedchamber, in your Royal Highness’s fami-
 “ ly—a situation which I had the honour of being appointed to by him, at
 “ the same time with the rest of those Ladies who comprise your Royal
 “ Highness’s Household.

“ The same duty and attachment which I shall ever be proud in professing
 “ for his Royal Highness, and which induced me to accept of that appoint-
 “ ment urged me to obey his commands, in retaining it a long time after the
 “ infamous and unjustifiable paragraphs in the Public Papers rendered it im-
 “ possible for a person of the rank and station I hold in this Country, indeed,
 “ for any woman possessing the honest pride of an English woman, to sub-
 “ mit to hold a station which was to make her the object of a dark and de-
 “ signing calumny. Upon mentioning my earnest request to His Royal
 “ Highness for my instant resignation, he represented to me, that such a step
 “ would not only be regarded as a confirmation of every absurd and abomi-
 “ nable falsehood that had been so industriously fabricated for the present
 “ purpose, but that it would be farther promoting the views of those who
 “ had been so wickedly labouring to injure His Royal Highness in the Pub-
 “ lic mind, and through him, to degrade the Royal Family. But the mo-
 “ ment is now arrived, when I can, with propriety, withdraw myself from
 “ such persecution and injustice, with the conscious satisfaction of knowing,
 “ that,

"that, by my silence and forbearance, I have given the strongest proof to the
 "Royal Family, and of that respectful attachment and gratitude to His
 "Royal Highness the PRINCE of WALES, which can never end but with
 "my life.

"I am, MADAM,

"With all possible respect,

"Your Royal Highness's

Most humble Servant."

It is scarcely possible better to conclude this review of the malicious trash which has been printed on this subject, than in the words of Dr. Franklin, in his animadversions on what he styles, '*A Court of the Press*.' This great man was himself an eminent printer, and possessed of a most acute understanding; a great philanthropist, and a lover of order and decorum; a staunch champion of religion and morality; and a friend to literature, science and good manners. On all these accounts, he was excellently well qualified to make just remarks on the liberty and licentiousness of the press;—an engine, which may be productive of the greatest, most extensive and permanent good, or of the most terrible and irreparable mischief.

Ye Printers of the present day! read with attention, what follows; blush, and reform.

"It is not by any commission from the supreme executive council, who might previously judge of the abilities, integrity,

integrity, knowledge, &c. of the persons to be appointed to this great trust, of deciding upon the characters and good fame of the citizens: for this court is above that council, and may accuse, judge, and condemn it at pleasure. Nor is it hereditary, as is the court of dernier ressort in the peerage of England. But any man who can procure pen, ink, and paper, with a press, a few types, and a huge pair of blacking balls, may commissionate himself, and his court is immediately established in the plenary possession and exercise of its rights. For if you make the least complaint of the judge's conduct, he dabs his blackingballs in your face wherever he meets you; and besides tearing your private character to splinters, marks you out for the odium of the public, as an enemy to the liberty of the press.

"Of the checks proper to be established against the abuses of power in those courts.

"Hitherto there are none. But since so much has been written and published on the federal constitution; and the necessity of checks, in all other parts of good government, has been so clearly and learnedly explained, I find myself so far enlightened as to suspect some check may be proper in this part also: but I have been at a loss to imagine any that may not be construed an infringement of the sacred liberty of the press. At length, however, I think I have found one, that, instead of diminishing general liberty, shall

shall augment it ; which is, by restoring to the people a species of liberty of which they have been deprived by our laws, I mean the liberty of the cudgel ! In the rude state of society, prior to the existence of laws, if one man gave another ill-language, the affronted person might return it by a box on the ear ; and if repeated, by a good drubbing ; and this without offending against any law : but now the right of making such returns is denied, and they are punished as breaches of the peace, while the right of abusing seems to remain in full force ; the laws made against it being rendered ineffectual by the liberty of the press.

“ My proposal then is, to leave the liberty of the press untouched, to be exercised in its full extent, force and vigour, but to permit the liberty of the cudgel to go with it, *pari passu*. Thus, my fellow citizens, if an impudent writer attacks your reputation—dearer perhaps to you than your life, and puts his name to the charge, you may go to him as openly, and break his head. If he conceals himself behind the printer, and you can nevertheless discover who he is, you may, in like manner, way-lay him in the night, attack him behind, and give him a good drubbing. If your adversary hires better writers than himself, to abuse you more effectually, you may hire brawny porters, stronger than yourself, to assist you in giving him a more effectual drubbing. Thus far goes my project, as to *private* resentment

ment and retribution. But if the public should ever happen to be affronted, as it ought to be, with the conduct of such writers, I would not advise proceeding immediately to these extremities, but that we should in moderation content ourselves with tarring and feathering, and tossing them in a blanket.

“If, however, it should be thought that this proposal of mine may disturb the public peace, I would then humbly recommend to our legislators to take up the consideration of both liberties, that of the press and that of the cudgel ; and by an explicit law mark their extent and limits : and at the same time that they secure the person of a citizen from assaults, they will likewise provide for the security of his reputation.”



ment and reputation. But if the public should ever be
pen to be affronted as it ought to be, with the conduct of
such writers, I would not advise proceeding immediately
to those extremes, but that we should in moderation con-
tent ourselves with taxing and lecturing, and telling them
in a blunt manner.

"It however, it should be thought that the prop (2) of
improving the public peace, I would then warmly
recommend to our legislators to take up the consideration
of both liberties, and that of the subject;
and by an explicit law, their extent and limits; and at
the same time to give the person of a citizen from
whom they will hereafter provide for the security of his
reputation."

